## Forestland Interpretations

Forestland interpretations are important to good management. The management of trees begins with an understanding of the soil where they grow or are to be grown. Some soils are very suitable for growing wood crops; others barely support tree cover. Different tree species may vary in production on the same soil.

Forestland interpretations should be used to assist land users in planning, installing, and maintaining forestland management systems.

## Forest Management and Productivity

The Forestland Management and Productivity tables presents information about suitable for producing timber for each soil map unit. Management concerns, which include hand planting, mechanical planting, use of harvesting equipment, mechanical site preparation (surface), roads (natural surface), erosion on roads and trails, off-road/trail erosion, soil rutting, log landings, seedling survival, are listed by ratings of:

- Not Limited (0.00)
- Slightly Limited (0.01 to 0.30)
- Moderately Limited (0.31 to 0.60)
- Limited (0.61 to 0.99)
- Very Limited (1.00)

Information on potential productivity includes plant competition, common trees, site index, productivity class, and trees to plant.

## Management Concerns

**PLANT COMPETITION** - A rating of slight indicates little or no competition from other plants; moderate indicates that plant competition is expected to hinder the development of the fully stocked stand of desirable trees; and severe means that plant competition is expected to prevent the establishment of a desirable stand unless the site is intensively prepared, weeded, or otherwise managed for the control of undesirable plants.

**POTENTIAL PRODUCTIVITY -** This is discussed under the ordination class symbol.

**COMMON TREES** - Trees that generally occur on the soil are listed regardless of economic importance.

**SITE INDEX AND PRODUCTIVITY CLASS** - These are discussed under ordination class symbol.

**TREES TO PLANT** - Trees that are suitable for commercial wood production and that are adapted to the soil.

**HAND PLANTING** – ratings are based on slope, depth to a restrictive layer, content of sand, plasticity index, rock fragments on or below the surface, a water table, and ponding. Ratings indicate the expected difficulty of hand planting, which includes the proper placement of root systems of tree seedlings to a depth of up to 12 inches, using standard hand planting tools. It is assumed that necessary site preparation is completed before seedlings are planted.

**MECHANICAL PLANTING** – ratings are based on slope, depth to a restrictive layer, content of sand, plasticity index, rock fragments on or below the surface, a water table, and ponding. Ratings indicate the expected difficulty using a mechanical planter, which includes proper placement of root systems of tree seedlings to a depth up to 12 inches. It is assumed that necessary site preparation is completed before seedlings are planted.

**USE OF HARVEST EQUIPMENT** – ratings are based on slope, rock fragments on the surface, plasticity index, content of sand, surface texture, a water table, and ponding. Ratings indicate the suitability for operating harvest equipment for off –road transport or harvest of logs and/or wood products by ground-based wheeled or tracked equipment.

**MECHANICAL SITE PREPARATION (SURFACE)** – ratings are based on slope, depth to a restrictive layer, plasticity index, rock fragments on or below the surface, a water table, and ponding. The part of the soil from the surface to a depth of about 12 inches is considered in the ratings. Ratings indicate the suitability of using surface-altering soil tillage equipment to prepare the site for planting or seeding.

**ROADS (NATURAL SURFACE)** – ratings are based on slope, rock fragments on the surface, plasticity index, content of sand, surface texture, a water table, ponding, flooding, and the hazard of soil slippage. The ratings indicate the suitability for using the natural surface of the soil for roads on which trucks transport logs and other wood products from the site.

**EROSION** (**ROAD/TRAIL**) – ratings are based on the soil erodibility factor K, slope, and content of rock fragments. The ratings apply to unsurfaced roads and trails.

**EROSION (OFF-ROAD/OFF-TRAIL)** – ratings are based on slope and on soil erodibility factor K. The soil loss is caused by sheet or rill erosion in off-road or off-trail areas where 50 to 75 percent of the surface has been exposed by logging, grazing, mining, or other kinds of disturbance.

**SOIL RUTTING** – ratings are based on a water table, rock fragments on or below the surface, surface texture, depth to a restrictive layer, and slope. Ratings indicate the hazard or risk of ruts in the uppermost soil surface layers by operation of forest equipment. Soil displacement and puddling (soil deformation and compaction) may occur simultaneously with rutting.

**LOG LANDINGS** – ratings are based on slope, rock fragments on the surface, plasticity index, content of sand, surface texture, a water table, ponding, flooding, and the hazard of soil slippage. Ratings indicate the suitability of the soil at the forest site to serve as a log landing and allows the efficient and effective use of equipment for the temporary storage and handling of logs.

**SEEDLING SURVIVAL** – ratings are based on flooding, ponding, a water table, content of lime, reaction, salinity, available water capacity, soil moisture regime, soil temperature regime, aspect, and slope. Ratings indicate the impact of soil, physiographic, and climatic conditions on the survivability of newly established tree seedlings.

See the National Forestry Manual, Subpart B for criteria used in rating management concerns.

This subsection includes:

• (a) Forest Management (one or two tables)

	Potential productivity				
Map symbol and	Common trees	  Site  index	Volume of wood fiber	     Trees to manage   	
			cu ft/ac	<del></del>	
	lack walnut	!	0	black walnut,	
1 -	astern redcedar		57	northern red oak,	
	orthern red oak		57	shortleaf pine,	
	hortleaf pine		114	white oak	
WI	hite oak		0		
i					
ļ					
	lack oak		0	green ash,	
	lackjack oak		0	sweetgum,	
	ost oak		0	tuliptree	
	hortleaf pine	:	0		
w1	hite oak	60	43		
b:	lack oak		0	green ash,	
b	lackjack oak	i	0	sweetgum,	
pq p	ost oak	i	0	tuliptree	
s	hortleaf pine		0	_	
	hite oak		43		
owfork		   		 	
don				   	
don  		   		   	

	Potential produ	activit	-y	
Map symbol and soil name	Common trees		   Volume  of wood   fiber	     Trees to manage     
			cu ft/ac	
CgB2: Creldon	   	   	   	   
CrB:	   	   	   	   
CrB2: Creldon	 	   	   	   
Dg: Dunning	    American sycamore	   	     0	    American sycamore,
	black willow   boxelder   eastern cottonwood	 	0   0   129	baldcypress, pin   oak, swamp white   oak, sweetgum
	pin oak  red maple	95 	86   0	
	swamp white oak  sweetgum			
Du:				
Dunning	American sycamore  black willow		0 0	American sycamore, baldcypress, pin
	boxelder   eastern cottonwood	!	0   129	oak, swamp white oak, sweetgum
	pin oak  red maple	95 	86   0	
	swamp white oak		0   114	
EdB:	 			 
Crestmeade	 	   	   	 

- <del></del>	Potential produ	Potential productivity			
Map symbol and soil name			Volume	     Trees to manage   	
			cu ft/ac	<del></del>	
EdB2: Crestmeade	   		   	   	
EnB: Eldon			   	 	
FrA: Triplett			 		
FrB: Hartville	  white oak    	55	   43 	eastern cottonwood, pin oak, tuliptree, white oak	
GaB: Gasconade	  blackjack oak  chinkapin oak  eastern redcedar  post oak	40 27	   0   29   29	  eastern redcedar   	
GaE: Gasconade	  blackjack oak  chinkapin oak  eastern redcedar  post oak	40 27	   0   29   29	    eastern redcedar     	
GaF: Gasconade	  blackjack oak  chinkapin oak  eastern redcedar  post oak	40 27	0 29 29 0	  eastern redcedar   	

	Potential productivity			
Map symbol and soil name	Common trees	  Site  index 	   Volume  of wood   fiber	     Trees to manage   
			  cu ft/ac 	
GsB:		 		
Glensted		 	 	 
GsB2:		İ		
Glensted				
Hu:				
Sturkie	American sycamore		86	American sycamore,
	eastern cottonwood		129	black walnut,
	northern red oak  white oak	80   70	57   57	eastern   cottonwood,
	WHILE GAR	70   	5 <i>1</i>   	cottonwood,   northern red oak,   white oak
Ls:		 		
Lindside	black walnut	j	0	black oak, black
	northern red oak	86	72	walnut, eastern
	red maple		0	white pine,
	tuliptree   white ash	95   85	100   57	Japanese larch,   northern red oak,
	white oak	85	37   72	Norway spruce,
			, <u>-</u>   	shortleaf pine,   tuliptree, white   ash, white oak
McB: McGirk	  white oak       	   55     	   43   	  eastern cottonwood,   green ash, pecan,   pin oak, sweetgum,   white oak

	Potential productivity			
Map symbol and soil name		  Site  index 	Volume	     Trees to manage   
McB2: McGirk		         55 		eastern cottonwood, green ash, pecan, pin oak, sweetgum, white oak
Me: Melvin	American elm   Cherrybark oak   common hackberry   eastern cottonwood   green ash   hickory   pin oak   red maple   sweetgum	   91     101       99     89	0   114   0   129   0   0   100   100	American sycamore, eastern cottonwood, loblolly pine, pin oak, sweetgum, willow oak
On: Leta	  black willow  eastern cottonwood   	       90 	   0   100 	  eastern cottonwood,   green ash, pecan,   silver maple,   sweetgum
RaA: Moniteau	  pin oak           	   70         	   57       	  black willow,   eastern   cottonwood, green   ash, pin oak,   silver maple,   sweetgum, white   oak, willow oak

	Potential produ	   		
Map symbol and soil name	Common trees		Volume of wood fiber	Trees to manage
			cu ft/ac	
Rw: Haynie	  American sycamore  black walnut  eastern cottonwood  green ash	     110	   157   0   157   0	  black walnut,   eastern   cottonwood, green   ash
Sa: Carr	  American sycamore  eastern cottonwood		   0   100	  American sycamore,   eastern cottonwood
Sb: Sarpy	  eastern cottonwood     	   95   	   114   	American sycamore,   eastern   cottonwood, silver   maple
Sc: Sarpy	  eastern cottonwood   	   95   	   114   	American sycamore,   eastern   cottonwood, silver   maple
SeA: Crestmeade		   		
SeB: Crestmeade		   	   	   
SeB2: Crestmeade	 	   	   	   

	Potential productivity			
Map symbol and soil name	Common trees	  Site  index 	Volume of wood fiber	     Trees to manage   
	   		  cu ft/ac 	
UnB2: Union	  black oak  northern red oak  shortleaf pine  white oak	58   62   53   50	43 43 86 43	northern red oak, scarlet oak, shortleaf pine, white oak
UnB3: Union	  black oak  northern red oak  shortleaf pine  white oak	   58   62   53   50	   43   43   86   43	  northern red oak,   scarlet oak,   shortleaf pine,   white oak
UnC2: Union	  black oak  northern red oak  shortleaf pine  white oak	   58   62   53   50	   43   43   86   43	  northern red oak,   scarlet oak,   shortleaf pine,   white oak
Unc3: Union	  black oak  northern red oak  shortleaf pine  white oak	58   52   53   50	   43   43   86   43	northern red oak,   scarlet oak,   shortleaf pine,   white oak
UnD2: Wrengart	  black oak  northern red oak  white oak	     70   66	0   57   43	  black oak, northern   red oak, white oak   

	Potential productivi			
Map symbol and soil name	Common trees		   Volume  of wood   fiber	   Trees to manage   
	_		cu ft/ac	   
UtB2:		 	 	
Union	black oak   northern red oak   shortleaf pine   white oak	58 62 53 50	43 43 86 43	northern red oak,   scarlet oak,   shortleaf pine,   white oak
UtC2: Union	  - black oak  northern red oak  shortleaf pine  white oak	   58   62   53   50	43 43 86 43	northern red oak, scarlet oak, shortleaf pine, white oak
W: Water	-	   	   	   
WdB2: Weller	 - white oak	     55   	   43   	  black walnut,   eastern white   pine, red pine,   sugar maple
We: Lowassie	 - pin oak  post oak	   50   45	   29   29	  black oak, green   ash, pin oak
WfB2: Winfield	  - black oak  northern red oak  white oak	   65   60   65 	   43   43   43	  black oak, eastern   white pine, green   ash, northern red   oak, tuliptree

	Potential produ			
Map symbol and soil name	Common trees		   Volume  of wood   fiber	   Trees to manage     
WfC2:		<del></del>     	cu ft/ac	
	black oak  northern red oak  white oak	65 60 65	43   43   43	black oak, eastern   white pine, green   ash, northern red   oak, tuliptree
WfD2: Winfield	  black oak  northern red oak  white oak	   65   60   65	   43   43   43	  black oak, eastern   white pine, green   ash, northern red   oak, tuliptree
WfF2: Winfield	  black oak  northern red oak  white oak	   65   60   65	   43   43   43	  black oak, eastern   white pine, green   ash, northern red   oak, tuliptree
WtB: Winfield	  black oak  northern red oak  white oak	   65   60   65	   43   43   43	  black oak, eastern   white pine, green   ash, northern red   oak, tuliptree
WtB2: Winfield	  black oak  northern red oak  white oak	65 60 65	   43   43   43	  black oak, eastern   white pine, green   ash, northern red   oak, tuliptree

	Potential produ			
Map symbol and soil name	Common trees	  Site  index 	Volume of wood fiber	Trees to manage
	   	   	  cu ft/ac 	
WtC2:				
Winfield	black oak	65	43	black oak, eastern
	northern red oak	60	43	white pine, green
	white oak	65	43	ash, northern red oak, tuliptree